

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant(s):	J.M. NAGDA et al.	Examiner	Mohammad Ali
Serial No.	09/388,261	Group Art Unit	2177
Filed	August 31, 1999	Docket No.	BLD919990027US1
TITLE	A WORKFLOW MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR GENERATING OUTPUT MATERIAL BASED ON CUSTOMER INPUT		

---

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via the EFS Web system to Mohammad Ali of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on January 8, 2007.

/David Victor/

David W. Victor

**RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This Amendment is submitted in response to a final office action in the above case dated October 6, 2006 ("Final Office Action") in which the Examiner rejected claims 1-7, 12-18, and 22-28 as anticipated 35 (U.S.C. §102) over prior art and found that claims 8-11, 19-21, and 29-32 would be allowed if rewritten in independent form. Applicants amended independent claims 1, 12, and 22 to include the requirements of allowable claims 8, 19, and 29, respectively, to place all the claims in condition for allowance. Applicants made further clarification amendments to the claims. Claims 8, 19, and 29 are canceled. Applicants submit that these amendments place the application in condition for allowance.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 10.